

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/422,430	10/21/1999	MARK C. DAVIS	RSW9-99-073	5523
7590 12/23/2003 JEANINE S RAY YARLETTS			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, TONGOC	
IBM CORP DE	PT T81 BLDG 062		ART UNIT	
P O BOX 12195	P O BOX 12195			PAPER NUMBER
RESEARCH TRIANGLES PARK, NC 27709			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/200	\mathcal{L}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/422,430	DAVIS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tongoc Tran	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) di will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro c, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 O	october 1999.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-63 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
ŕ	on Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/422,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicants' application serial no. 09/422,430 filed on 10/21/1999.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-12, 14-15, 19-33, 35-36, 40-44, 56-57 and 61-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hind et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,585,778 hereinafter Hind) in view of Gennaro et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,937,066 hereinafter Gennaro) and Schneck et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,933,498).

In respect to claim 1, Hind discloses a computer program product embodied on computer readable media readable by a computing system in a computing environment, for enforcing security policy using style sheet processing, comprising:

an input document (see col. 4, lines 16-18);

Art Unit: 2134

one or more stored policy enforcement objects, wherein each of said stored policy enforcement objects specifies a security policy to be associated with zero or more elements of said input document (see col. 4, lines 1-4 and lines 11-32);

a Document Type Definition (DTD) corresponding to said input document, wherein said DTD has been augmented with one or more references to selected ones of said stored policy enforcement objects (see 4, lines 16-23);

an augmented style sheet processor, wherein said augmented processor further comprises: computer-readable program code means for loading said DTD (see col. 4, lines 25); computer-readable program code means for resolving each of said one or more references in said loaded DTD (see col. 4, lines 25-26);

computer-readable program code means for instantiating said policy enforcement objects associated with said resolved references (see col. 4, lines 26-28);

computer-readable program code means for executing selected ones of said instantiated policy enforcement objects during application of one or more style sheets to said input document, wherein a result of said computer-readable program code means for executing is an interim transient document reflecting said execution (see col. 4, lines 28-31);

Hind does not disclose but Gennaro discloses a computer-readable program code means for generating one or more random encryption keys; and computer-readable program code means for encrypting each of said one or more random encryption keys (see col. 7, lines 52-67 and col. 8, lines 44-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Hind's teaching of enforcing data policy using style sheet processing with security feature of using random encryption keys and encrypting the encryption

Art Unit: 2134

Page 4

key taught by Gennaro for better protecting transmitted document by avoiding the disadvantage of key sharing and to keep the encryption key safe by encrypting the encryption key (see Hind, col. 8, lines 44-45).

Furthermore, Hind does not disclose but Schneck discloses a computer-readable program code means for encrypting selected elements of said interim transient document, wherein a particular one of said generated random encryption keys may be used to encrypt one or more of said selected elements, while leaving zero or more other elements of said interim transient document unencrypted (see Fig. 1 and 2, col. 9, lines 38-59 and col. 10, lines 34-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Hind's teaching of enforcing data policy using style sheet processing with the partial encryption features taught by Schneck in order to enable the user to access the data in various controlled ways depending on access rules (see Schneck, col. 9, lines 55-59).

Hind does not disclose but Schneck discloses creating an encrypted output document comprising said zero or more unencrypted elements, said selected encrypted elements, and said encrypted encryption keys (see Fig. 2, 4 and 6, col. 12, lines 17-26 and col. 13, lines 36-50); receiving said encrypted output document and decrypting said received output document for an individual user on said client device and rendering said result document on said client device (see col. 5, lines 17-26); Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Hind's teaching of enforcing data policy using style sheet processing with packaged data that includes encrypted body part, unencrypted body and other encrypted information and transmitted encrypted document and rendering result at the client device taught by Schneck for better protection and tamper resistant to the transmitted

Art Unit: 2134

document and the secure of having the document renders at the client's device instead of transmitting it clear over the network.

In respect to claim 2, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 1, wherein said interim transient document comprises one or more encryption tags identifying elements needing encryption (see Schneck, col. 7, lines 37-39).

In respect to claim 3, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 1, wherein said input document is specified in an Extensible Markup Language (XML) notation (see Hind, col. 7, lines 19-50).

In respect to claim 4, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 3, wherein said result document is specified in said XML notation (see Hind, col. 7, lines 19-50).

In respect to claim 5, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 1, wherein said stored policy enforcement objects further comprise computer-readable program code means for overriding a method for evaluating said elements of said input document, and wherein said computer-readable program code means for executing further comprises computer-readable program code means for executing said computer-readable program code means for overriding (see Hind, col. 4, lines 38-42).

In respect to claim 6, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 5, wherein said style sheets are specified in an Extensible Stylesheet Language (XSL) notation (see Hind, col. 4, lines 43-44).

In respect to claim 7, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 6, wherein said method is a value-of method of said XSL notation, and

Art Unit: 2134

wherein said computer-readable program code means for overriding said value-of method is by subclassing said value-of method (see Hind, col. 4, lines 43-49).

In respect to claim 8, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 5 or Claim 7, wherein said overridden method comprises: computer-readable program code means for generating encryption tags (see Schneck, col. 12, lines 27-50); and computer-readable program code means for inserting said generated encryption tags into said interim transient document to surround elements of said interim transient document which are determined to require encryption; and said computer-readable program code means for encrypting selected elements encrypts those elements surrounded by said inserted encryption tags (see Schneck, Fig. 2 and 6, col. 7, lines 35-39, col. 13, lines 37-50).

In respect to claim 9, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 1, wherein each of said instantiated policy enforcement objects further comprises:

a specification of a community that is authorized to view said elements associated with said security policy; and an encryption requirement for said elements associated with said security policy (see Schneck, col. 23, line 56-col. 24, line 4).

In respect to claim 10, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 9, wherein said encryption requirement further comprises specification of an encryption algorithm (see Schneck, col. 12, lines 17-26).

In respect to claim 11, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 9, wherein said encryption requirement further comprises specification of an encryption algorithm strength value (see Schneck, col. 12, lines 27-41).

Art Unit: 2134

In respect to claim 13, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 9, wherein said encryption requirement may have a null value to indicate that said specified security policy does not require encryption (see Schneck, Fig. 2, col. 7, lines 35-40).

In respect to claim 14, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 1, wherein said computer-readable program code means for encrypting selected elements uses a cipher block chaining mode encryption process (see Gennaro, col. 1, lines 19-25).

In respect to claim 19, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to claim 1, wherein said DTD is replaced by a schema (see col. 8, lines 8-20, "an XML schema specifies constraint on the structures and types of elements in an XML document. The basic schema for XML is DTD").

In respect to claim 20, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck discloses the computer program product according to Claim 10, wherein said encryption requirement further comprises specification of an encryption key length (see Gennaro, col. 30, lines 41-51, maximum key length).

In respect to claim 21, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose the computer program product according to Claim 9, wherein said inserted encryption tags may surround either values of said elements or values and tags of said elements (see Schneck, Fig. 2 and 6, col. 13, lines 37-50).

In respect to claim 22, the claim limitation is a system claim which is substantially similar to the computer program product of claim 1 and therefore the same rejection applied.

Art Unit: 2134

In respect to claim 43, the claim limitation is a method claim which is substantially similar to the computer program product of claim 1 and therefore the same rejection applied.

In respect to claims 23-32, 34-35 and 40-42, the claim limitation is a system claim which is substantially similar to the computer program product claims 2-11, 13-14, 19-21 and therefore the same rejection applied.

In respect to claims 44-53, 55-56 and 61-63, the claim limitation is a method claim which is substantially similar to the computer program product claims 2-11, 13-14 and 19-21 and therefore the same rejection applied.

5. Claim 12, 15-18, 33, 36-39, 54 and 57-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hind et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,585,778 hereinafter Hind) in view of Gennaro et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,937,066 hereinafter Gennaro) and Schneck et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,933,498) and further in view of Carter (U.S. Patent No. 5,787,175).

In respect to claim 12, Hind, Gennaro and Schneck disclose a computer program product according to claim 9. Hind, Gennaro and Schneck do not disclose but Carter discloses a computer-readable program code means for encrypting a different version of each of said random encryption keys for each of said one or more members of each of zero or more of said communities which uses said encryption key, and wherein each of said different versions is encrypted using a public key of said community member for which said different version was encrypted (see col. 1, lines 5-14 and col. 8, lines 51-60 and col. 13, lines 63-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hind, Gennaro and Schneck with Carter by associating

Art Unit: 2134

different keys with different users authorized to access an encrypted document to prevent redistribution of new keys when a member of a group leave the group (see Carter, col. 5, lines 5-20).

Page 9

In respect to claim 15, Hind, Gennaro, Schneck and Carter disclose the computer program product according to Claim 12 further disclose said computer program product comprising:

computer-readable program code means for creating a key class comprises:

a strongest encryption requirement of associated encrypted element (see Schneck, col. 12, lines 27-65);

generating said one or more random encryption keys generates a particular one of said random encryption keys for each of said key classes (see Gennaro, col. 8, lines 55-67); and

each of said different versions in a particular key class is encrypted from said generated encryption key generated for said key class (see Gennaro, col. 8, lines 55-67); and

encrypting selected elements uses that one of said particular random encryption keys which was generated for said key class with which said selected element is associated (see col. Schneck, col. 7, lines 35-45).

for each unique community, wherein said key class is associated with each of said encrypted elements for which this unique community is an authorized viewer (see Carter, col. 1, lines 1-14);

an identifier of each of said members of said unique community (see Carter, col. 8, lines 51-60);

Art Unit: 2134

and one of said different versions of said encrypted encryption key for each of said identified community members (see Carter, col. 1, lines 5-14 and col. 8, lines 51-60).

In respect to claim 16, Hind, Gennaro, Schneck and Carter disclose the computer program product according to claim 12, wherein Said computer-readable program code means for decrypting said output document further comprises:

Computer-readable program code means for determining zero or more of said communities of which said individual user or process is one of said members (see Carter, col. 1, lines 5-14);

Computer-readable program code means for decrypting, for each of said determined communities, said different version of said random encryption key which was encrypted using said public key of said one member, wherein said computer-readable program code means for decrypting uses a private key of said one member which is associated with said public key which was used for encryption, thereby creating a decrypted key (see Carter, col. 13, lines 63-67); and

Computer-readable program code means for decrypting selected ones of said encrypted elements in said output document using said decrypted keys, wherein said selected ones of said encrypted elements are those which were encrypted for one of said determined communities; and

Rendering said decrypted selected ones and said other unencrypted elements (see Schneck, Fig. 2 and 6, col. 5, lines 17-26, col. 13, lines 37-50 and col. 18, lines 44-61).

In respect to claim 17, Hind, Gennaro, Schneck and Carter disclose the computer program product according to Claim 15, wherein said computer-readable program code means for decrypting said output document further comprises:

Art Unit: 2134

Page 11

Computer-readable program code means for determining zero or more of said key classes which identify said individual user or process as one of said members (see Carter, col. 1, lines 5-14);

Computer-readable program code means for decrypting, for each of said determined key classes, said different version of said random encryption key in said key class which was encrypted using said public key of said one member, wherein said computer-readable program code means for decrypting uses a private key of said one member which is associated with said public key which was used for encryption, thereby creating a decrypted key (see Carter, col. 13, lines 63-67); and

Computer-readable program code means for decrypting selected ones of said encrypted elements in said output document using said decrypted keys, wherein said selected ones of said encrypted elements are those which were encrypted for said key class; and rendering said decrypted ones and said other unencrypted elements (see Schneck, Fig. 2 and 6, col. 5, lines 17-26, col. 13, lines 37-50 and col. 18, lines 44-61).

In respect to claim 18, Hind, Gennaro, Schneck and Carter disclose the computer program product according to claim 16 or 17, wherein said computer-readable program code means for rendering further comprises computer-readable program code means for rendering a substitute text message for any of said selected encrypted elements in said output document which cannot be decrypted by said computer-readable program code means for decrypting said output document (see Hind, col. 3. lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2134

In respect to claims 33, 36-39, the claim limitation is a system claim which is substantially similar to the computer program product claims 12, 15-18 and therefore the same rejection applied.

Page 12

In respect to claims 54, 57-60, the claim limitation is a method claim which is substantially similar to the computer program product claims 12, 15-18 and therefore the same rejection applied.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- -Hind et al. Disclose a retrieval of style sheets from directories based upon partial characteristic matching.
- -Ciacelli et al. Disclose an apparatus, method and computer program product for protecting copyright data within a computer system.
- -Kuroda discloses a security level control apparatus and method for a network securing communications between parties without presetting the security level.
- -Moshfeghi discloses a method and apparatus for controlling browser functionality in the context of an application.
- -Chen et al. Disclose a dynamic business process automation system using XML documents.
- -Pebley et al. Disclose a system and method for transferring encrypted sections of documents across a computer network.

Application/Control Number: 09/422,430 Page 13

Art Unit: 2134

-Kramer et al. Discloses a hierarchical model of consumer attributes for targeting content in a privacy-reserving manner.

- -Hyman et al. Disclose extension of parsable structures.
- -Bailey et al. Disclose method for versioning a UML model in a repository in accordance with an updated XML representation of the UML model.
- -Roberts et al. Discloses a method for creating network services by transforming an XML runtime model in response to an iterative input process.
 - -Livingston et al. disclose a system for presenting and managing enterprise architectures.
 - -Danieli discloses a security services and policy enforcement for electronic data.

Gutowitz discloses a method and apparatus for encryption decryption and authentication using dynamical systems.

-Kluttz et al. Disclose a methods, systems and computer program products for multi-level encryption.

Rucklidge et al. disclose a methods and apparatus for partial encryption of tokenized documents.

- -Boag et al. Disclose a dynamically determining the most appropriate location for style sheet application.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tongoc Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-7690. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 14

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A. Morse can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-9600.

Examiner Tongoc Tran Art Unit: 2134

TT December 12, 2003

Matthew B. Sun Dien MATTHEW SMITHERS PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 2/34